

08/29/00 13:35

2

P.01

FRANKLIN SQUARE, NEW YORK 11010
(516) 488-2315

#7
SSK
8-30-00

FAX MESSAGE

COVER SHEET

DATE: August 29, 2000
TIME: 1:00 P.M.
TO: Examiner Moustafa M. Meky
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

MATTER: AUTHORIZATION OF FEE PAYMENT

In Re Appln. of: Robert Filepp et al. Group Art Unit 2757
Serial No.: 09/369,649 Examiner: Moustafa M. Meky
Filed: August 8, 1999
Title: INTERACTIVE COMPUTER SYSTEM AND
METHOD OF OPERATION

FAX NUMBER: (703) 308-9051

Official

TOTAL PAGES
WITH COVER SHEET: Five (5) pages

RETURN FAX NUMBER: 516 488-5802 (Voice Notification Required)

RETURN TELEPHONE
NUMBER: 516 488-2315


CONFIDENTIAL: THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL AND/OR LEGALLY PRIVILEGED MATTER AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL(S) NAMED ON THIS COVER SHEET. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISCLOSURE, COPYING, DISTRIBUTION OR THE TAKING OF ANY ACTION BASED ON THE CONTENTS OF THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION IS PROHIBITED. IF YOU RECEIVE THIS TRANSMISSION BY ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY ME IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AT THE RETURN TELEPHONE NUMBER NOTED ABOVE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL TRANSMISSION AND ALL COPIES BY MAIL TO ME AT MY ABOVE NOTED ADDRESS. YOUR COOPERATION IS APPRECIATED.

PAUL C. SCIFO
ATTORNEY AT LAW
10 LEE COURT
FRANKLIN SQUARE, NEW YORK 11010
(516) 488-2315

In Re Appln. of: Robert Filepp et al.
Serial No.: 09/369,649
Filed: August 8, 1999

Group Art Unit 2757
Examiner: Moustafa M. Meky

Title: INTERACTIVE COMPUTER SYSTEM AND
METHOD OF OPERATION



CORRESPONDENCE

Assistant Commissioner of Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Official

FAX (703) 308-9051
Attention: Examiner Moustafa M. Meky

Dear Mr. Meky:


Once again, I wish to thank you for your telephone call to me yesterday advising me that the Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) believes it has not been provided the fee for the terminal disclaimer filed as part of Applicants' response to the January 28, 2000 action issued in their above-noted application. As I explained, express authorization was provided in the response transmittal letter (copy enclosed) to charge all fees due to noted IBM deposit account 09-0459. Further, the filing-receipt postcard stamped by the PTO mail room (copy also enclosed) indicates the transmittal letter was received in the PTO.

In view of the above, I can only assume the transmittal letter with the noted authorization was somehow misplaced in the PTO.

In the event you feel additional authorization to charge any fees found due to the note deposit account in this matter, please consider this correspondence as constituting such authorization.

In the event you have any further questions, please feel free to contact me. Your assistance is appreciated.

Very truly yours,


Paul C. Scifo
Attorney for Applicants

PCS:mh
Enclosures

PAUL C. SCIFO
ATTORNEY AT LAW
10 LEE COURT
FRANKLIN SQUARE, NEW YORK 11010

Voice: (516) 488-2315

Facsimile: (516) 488-5802

In Re Appln. of: Robert Filepp et al.
Serial No.: 09/369,649
Filed: August 8, 1999

Group Art Unit 2757
Examiner: Moustafa M. Mcky

Title: INTERACTIVE COMPUTER SYSTEM AND
METHOD OF OPERATION

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

The Assistant Commissioner of Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In connection with the above referenced application, I am enclosing herewith:

1. A reply to the office action of January 28, 2000, the reply including:

1. A 4 page amendment responsive to the January 28, 2000 action;
2. A Terminal Disclaimer;
3. A petition to extend the time to reply by three (3) months.
4. A 1 page Information Disclosure Statement pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56, 1.97, 1.98.

No amendment fee is required based on the following calculation:

	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NO. PREV. PAID	EXTRA	RATE	FEE
TOTAL:	36	36	0	18.00	\$ 0
INDEP.	3	3	0	78.00	\$ 0
MULTIP. DEP.	Yes	Yes		260.00	\$ 0
				TOTAL	\$ 0